The Association of South Central Oklahoma Government (ASCOG) Area Agency on Aging (AAA) is asking our local communities where Title III Senior Nutrition Sites are located to respond to the following request for information (RFI). The RFI response is due no later than **July 15** in order to include your community data in the next nutrition project request for proposals (RFP).

According to the OKDHS Title III funding formula for nutrition sites is based on several criteria including but not limited to the following:

* Number of persons 60 years of age and older
* Number of older persons in greatest economic need
* Number of older persons in greatest social need (rural area)
* Number of low income minorities
* State and federal mandates such as serving 25 meals per day/250 days per year and funding at least $914,127 to rural areas. (See OAC 340:105-10-95 & 100)
* Availability of local resources (community support and impact), including volunteers

The purpose of the RFI is to help ASCOG in identifying the extent of community support at each of our remaining nutrition sites. The information gathered in the RFI will be used in the evaluation matrix to establish a ranking of sites to be defunding should further budget cuts demand it.

**This is for strategic planning for possible site closures. It is not time to panic it is time to plan. This is not a notification of site closure, only a request to help develop the strategic plan. That said, no Title III site is immune to site closure or relocation.**

This request for information covers the following communities: Anadarko, Blanchard, Byars, Cache, Carnegie, Cement, Chickasha, Comanche, Cyril, Duncan, Elgin, Frederick, Geronimo, Lawton, Marlow, Purcell, Ringling, Ryan, Temple, Walters, Washington and Wayne.

This request for information is being sent to all of the Title III nutrition sites in the above communities and to all of their respective community governments.

**Responses to this RFI are due no later than July 15, 2016.**

If no responses are received from one of the above communities, then their Title III senior nutrition site funding could be negatively impacted.

Thank you for taking the time to complete this request for information.

Ken Jones

580.736.7972 Office

580.252.6170 Fax

**Please complete this page and return to** **jone\_ke@ascog.org** **no later than July 15, 2016.**

|  |
| --- |
| What is the name of your community (city or town)? |
|  |
| What are the names of the Title III Nutrition Site(s) you support? |
|  |
| Does the community provide rent or utility assistance to the nutrition site? If so, how much? Please provide letters of support or other supporting documentation. |
|  |
| Does the city/town own the building where Title III meals are served? |
|  |
| Does your community provide sales tax support for the senior nutrition site? If so, how much per year? |
|  |
| Does your county provide sales tax support to the senior nutrition site? If so, how much per year? |
|  |
| Please review the demographic, meals served, persons served and other information on the attached sheets. Do you dispute any of this information? If so, please provide documentation or references. |
|  |
| How many community fundraisers are conducted every year to support your senior nutrition site? How much money is typically provided annually to your nutrition site through fundraisers? |
|  |
| How many supplemental staff (funded by non-Title III programs such as sales tax, WEP, SCSEP, Experience Works, ASCOG, NICOA and so on) currently work at your nutrition site? |
|  |
| If funding is reduced mid-year, what methods would you prefer to address the budget shortfall?1. Establish site maximum meals served per day and adding seniors to waiting lists?
2. Site closures?
3. Reducing meal service from five days-per-week to four days-per-week (staff furloughs)?
	1. If meal services are reduced, are there community resources such as churches who could fill in for the days Title III does not serve?
	2. Please provide letters of commitment from churches or other community organizations if applicable.
 |
|  |
| Signature of Mayor or Senior Center Board President: |
|  |
| Date:  |

**Be sure to attach any supporting documentation. Attach additional pages as needed.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Title III Community | 60+ pop | 60+% | 60+ Rank |
| Anadarko | 1,154 | 3.77% |  6  |
| Blanchard | 1,313 | 4.29% |  4  |
| Byars | 64 | 0.21% |  22  |
| Cache | 445 | 1.45% |  10  |
| Carnegie | 419 | 1.37% |  11  |
| Cement | 109 | 0.36% |  20  |
| Chickasha | 3,222 | 10.52% |  3  |
| Comanche | 377 | 1.23% |  12  |
| Cyril | 235 | 0.77% |  16  |
| Duncan | 5,505 | 17.98% |  2  |
| Elgin | 298 | 0.97% |  13  |
| Frederick | 894 | 2.92% |  8  |
| Geronimo | 193 | 0.63% |  18  |
| Lawton | 12,669 | 41.37% |  1  |
| Marlow | 1,118 | 3.65% |  7  |
| Purcell | 1,173 | 3.83% |  5  |
| Ringling | 259 | 0.85% |  15  |
| Ryan | 216 | 0.71% |  17  |
| Temple | 264 | 0.86% |  14  |
| Walters | 515 | 1.68% |  9  |
| Washington | 73 | 0.24% |  21  |
| Wayne | 109 | 0.36% |  20  |
| **Totals** | **30,624** | **100.00%** |  |

Population and demographics from American Fact Finder Census data.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Title III Community | 60+ Poverty % |  60+ Poverty Est  | 60+Pov % of all | 60 + Poverty Rank |
| Anadarko | 14.3% |  165  | 4.99% | 6 |
| Blanchard | 9.2% |  121  | 3.65% | 8 |
| Byars | 19.2% |  12  | 0.37% | 19 |
| Cache | 5.6% |  25  | 0.75% | 16 |
| Carnegie | 17.6% |  74  | 2.23% | 10 |
| Cement | 13.0% |  14  | 0.43% | 18 |
| Chickasha | 11.9% |  383  | 11.60% | 3 |
| Comanche | 11.4% |  43  | 1.30% | 13 |
| Cyril | 7.0% |  16  | 0.50% | 17 |
| Duncan | 7.4% |  407  | 12.32% | 2 |
| Elgin | 17.7% |  53  | 1.60% | 12 |
| Frederick | 14.7% |  131  | 3.98% | 7 |
| Geronimo | 1.3% |  3  | 0.08% | 22 |
| Lawton | 9.5% |  1,204  | 36.41% | 1 |
| Marlow | 20.8% |  233  | 7.04% | 4 |
| Purcell | 15.7% |  184  | 5.57% | 5 |
| Ringling | 22.3% |  58  | 1.75% | 11 |
| Ryan | 17.0% |  37  | 1.11% | 14 |
| Temple | 11.7% |  31  | 0.93% | 15 |
| Walters | 19.1% |  99  | 2.98% | 9 |
| Washington | 10.0% |  7  | 0.22% | 20 |
| Wayne | 5.6% |  6  | 0.18% | 21 |
| **Totals** | 12.8% |  3,305  | 100.00% |  |

Population and demographics from American Fact Finder Census data.

Poverty level based on 2014 AFF percentage of 65+ poverty estimate S1701 table

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Title III Community | 60+ Minority % | 60+ Min. Est. | 60+ Min. % of all | 60+ Minority Rank |
| Anadarko | 57.0% | 658 | 9.24% | 3 |
| Blanchard | 11.1% | 146 | 2.05% | 7 |
| Byars | 16.2% | 10 | 0.15% | 21 |
| Cache | 17.9% | 80 | 1.12% | 10 |
| Carnegie | 38.3% | 160 | 2.25% | 6 |
| Cement | 21.6% | 24 | 0.33% | 19 |
| Chickasha | 10.4% | 335 | 4.70% | 4 |
| Comanche | 11.7% | 44 | 0.62% | 13 |
| Cyril | 16.9% | 40 | 0.56% | 16 |
| Duncan | 14.3% | 787 | 11.05% | 2 |
| Elgin | 14.4% | 43 | 0.60% | 15 |
| Frederick | 20.0% | 179 | 2.51% | 5 |
| Geronimo | 22.4% | 43 | 0.61% | 14 |
| Lawton | 32.7% | 4,143 | 58.17% | 1 |
| Marlow | 7.0% | 78 | 1.10% | 11 |
| Purcell | 11.4% | 134 | 1.88% | 8 |
| Ringling | 11.9% | 31 | 0.43% | 18 |
| Ryan | 15.3% | 33 | 0.46% | 17 |
| Temple | 17.2% | 45 | 0.64% | 12 |
| Walters | 17.2% | 89 | 1.24% | 9 |
| Washington | 6.2% | 5 | 0.06% | 22 |
| Wayne | 15.0% | 16 | 0.23% | 20 |
| **Totals** | 18.5% | 7,122 | 100.00% |  |

Population and demographics from American Fact Finder Census data.

Minority level based on 2014 AFF percentage of minority estimate DP05 table

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Title III Community | Total Unduplicated Persons Served | Unduplicated Persons Served % | UPS Rank |
| Anadarko | 62 | 2.90% | 16 |
| Blanchard | 87 | 4.07% | 8 |
| Byars | 66 | 3.09% | 13 |
| Cache | 56 | 2.62% | 17 |
| Carnegie | 63 | 2.95% | 14.5 |
| Cement | 35 | 1.64% | 22 |
| Chickasha | 145 | 6.78% | 3 |
| Comanche | 120 | 5.61% | 4 |
| Cyril | 81 | 3.79% | 9 |
| Duncan | 296 | 13.84% | 2 |
| Elgin | 74 | 3.46% | 12 |
| Frederick | 118 | 5.52% | 5 |
| Geronimo | 63 | 2.95% | 14.5 |
| Lawton | 328 | 15.34% | 1 |
| Marlow | 107 | 5.00% | 6 |
| Purcell | 98 | 4.58% | 7 |
| Ringling | 78 | 3.65% | 10 |
| Ryan | 75 | 3.51% | 11 |
| Temple | 54 | 2.53% | 18 |
| Walters | 41 | 1.92% | 20 |
| Washington | 53 | 2.48% | 19 |
| Wayne | 38 | 1.78% | 21 |
| **Totals** | **2,138** | 100.00% |  |

Unduplicated Persons Served information provided by Aging Information Management (AIM) data entered by the Nutrition Projects for the period of April 2015 through March 2016.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Title III Community | Community Impact | Community Impact rating | Community Impact Rank |
| Anadarko | 5.37% | 0.99% | 20 |
| Blanchard | 6.63% | 1.22% | 18 |
| Byars | 103.13% | 18.99% | 1 |
| Cache | 12.58% | 2.32% | 14 |
| Carnegie | 15.04% | 2.77% | 12 |
| Cement | 32.11% | 5.91% | 7 |
| Chickasha | 4.50% | 0.83% | 21 |
| Comanche | 31.83% | 5.86% | 8 |
| Cyril | 34.47% | 6.35% | 5 |
| Duncan | 5.38% | 0.99% | 19 |
| Elgin | 24.83% | 4.57% | 10 |
| Frederick | 13.20% | 2.43% | 13 |
| Geronimo | 32.64% | 6.01% | 6 |
| Lawton | 2.59% | 0.48% | 22 |
| Marlow | 9.57% | 1.76% | 15 |
| Purcell | 8.35% | 1.54% | 16 |
| Ringling | 30.12% | 5.55% | 9 |
| Ryan | 34.72% | 6.40% | 4 |
| Temple | 20.45% | 3.77% | 11 |
| Walters | 7.96% | 1.47% | 17 |
| Washington | 72.60% | 13.37% | 2 |
| Wayne | 34.86% | 6.42% | 3 |
| **Totals** | 542.94% | 100.00% |  |

Community Impact Rating reflects alternative community resources by dividing the unduplicated persons served by the population of seniors 60 years and older in the community.

Population and demographics from American Fact Finder Census data.

Unduplicated Persons Served information provided by Aging Information Management (AIM) data entered by the Nutrition Projects for the period of April 2015 through March 2016.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Title III Community | Volunteers | Volunteer hours | Vol hrs % to all | Vol Rank |
| Anadarko | 6 | 3,732 | 7.85% | 4 |
| Blanchard | 12 | 986 | 2.07% | 12 |
| Byars | 4 | 500 | 1.05% | 19 |
| Cache | 13 | 537 | 1.13% | 18 |
| Carnegie | 12 | 3,380 | 7.11% | 5 |
| Cement | 1 | 141 | 0.30% | 21 |
| Chickasha | 30 | 10,143 | 21.34% | 2 |
| Comanche | 21 | 1,186 | 2.50% | 10 |
| Cyril | - | - | 0.00% | 22 |
| Duncan | 25 | 3,904 | 8.21% | 3 |
| Elgin | 7 | 924 | 1.94% | 13 |
| Frederick | 20 | 870 | 1.83% | 14 |
| Geronimo | 6 | 1,247 | 2.62% | 7 |
| Lawton | 66 | 12,790 | 26.91% | 1 |
| Marlow | 12 | 781 | 1.64% | 15 |
| Purcell | 3 | 736 | 1.55% | 16 |
| Ringling | 6 | 1,233 | 2.59% | 8 |
| Ryan | 9 | 666 | 1.40% | 17 |
| Temple | 9 | 1,062 | 2.23% | 11 |
| Walters | 11 | 1,250 | 2.63% | 6 |
| Washington | 7 | 1,211 | 2.55% | 9 |
| Wayne | 1 | 244 | 0.51% | 20 |
| **Totals** | 281 | 47,523 | 100.00% |  |

|  |
| --- |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| Volunteer information provided by Aging Information Management (AIM) data entered by the Nutrition Projects for the period of April 2015 through March 2016 |