Section (Points possible)	Metric (Points possible)	Score
B. Scope of Work (40)	3 points for each county served (24)	
	Projected Units meet or exceed minimum (4)	
	Projected Units exceed minimum by 10% or greater (4)	
	Projected unduplicated served meets minimum (4)	
	Activities meet the scope of work definition (4)	
C. Service Implementation (20)	Staff Utilized listed in narrative (2)	
	Persons to be served incl. in narrative (2)	
	Job descriptions included (2)	
	Services provided to Title III nutrition sites by	
	established routes (6)	
	On demand services available (6)	
	Emergency preparedness plan includes influenza and	
	pneumonia (2)	
D. Characteristics of the Project	Proposal includes clear geographic boundary (4)	
Area (20)	Map included (4)	
	ASCOG demographic data included in proposal (2)	
	Project demographic data included in proposal (4)	1
	Low-income minority individuals clearly stated (3)	
	Individuals in rural areas listed clearly stated (3)	
G. Targeting (20)	Includes clear marketing plan for rural residents (2)	
	Clear marketing plan for those with greatest economic	
	need (2)	
	Clear marketing plan for those with greatest social need (2)	
	Clear marketing plan for those with severe disabilities (2)	
	Clear marketing plan for those with limited English (2)	
	Clear marketing plan for those with Alzheimer's (2)	
	Clear marketing plan for ADL and IADL impairments (2)	
	Clear marketing plan for those living alone (2)	
	Clear marketing plan for caregivers (1) Clear marketing plan for grandparents raising	
	grandchildren (1)	
U. Coordination (20)	Project brochures and marketing tools attached (2)	
H. Coordination (20)	Narrative describes coordination activities with at least	
	5 other agencies/resources. (4)	
	Narrative describes joint planning (4)	
	Narrative describes joint training (4)	
	Narrative describes joint public relations (4)	
	Narrative includes how coordination will take place with	
	focal points. (4)	
I. Capacity of Project Sponsor	History of project organization shows at least five or	
(20)	more years of transportation service (2)	
	Project director has at least five years of experience in	
	related field (8)	

	Agency clearly documents at least one vehicle for each	
	county served/physical capacity to provide	
	transportation (2)	
	Project clearly documents at least five years' experience	
	with target populations (2)	
	Project includes clearly identified plan to prevent	
	disruption of services (2)	
	Project has clear plan to provide adequate training to	
	staff (2)	
	Project has capacity to communicate through modern	
	technology (2)	
	Project has at least 45 days funding available (4)	
J. Evaluation/Quality Assurance	Narrative clearly identifies how Q/A will be achieved (2)	
(20)	Narrative clearly identifies who is assigned	
	responsibility for Q/A (2)	
	Narrative clearly identifies Q/A activities (2)	
	Narrative clearly identifies where Q/A takes place (2)	
	Narrative clearly identifies actions in response to Q/A	
	(2)	
	Safety checklists included (5)	
	Maintenance checklists included (5)	
K. Budget (40)	All staff are paid less than half midpoint (5)	
	Travel is less than or equal to federal maximum (5)	
	Equipment includes estimates or no equipment listed	
	(5)	
	Long forms match budget, cover page and SOW (5)	
	All Title III costs are allowable (5)	
	All Title III charges are allocable (5)	
	Budget justification matches cover page and SOW (5)	
	All costs are necessary for service taxonomy (5)	
Total score	(200 possible)	

Name of staff scoring grant

Signature

Date
